Ivan Sokolov

The Strategic Nimzo-Indian

Volume 1: A Complete Guide to the Rubinstein Variation

Contents

Foreword
PART I – 4.e3 Various11
Chapter 1.1
Chapter 1.2
Chapter 1.3
Chapter 1.4 36 Romanishin's 4b6 5.心e2 c5
Chapter 1.5
Chapter 1.6
Chapter 1.7
Chapter 1.8
Chapter 1.9
Chapter 1.10

PART II – 40-0 Minor Lines
Chapter 2.1
Chapter 2.2
Chapter 2.3
Chapter 2.4
Chapter 2.5 192 Fixing the Centre – 5. 2d3 d5 6.cxd5
Chapter 2.6 208 The Forcing 6.a3 – 5.\(\hat{2}\)d3 d5 6.a3
PART III – The Main Line 40-0 5.âd3 d5 6.∕2f3 221
Chapter 3.1
Chapter 3.2
Chapter 3.3 246 The Flexible 6c5 7.0-0 公c6 8.a3 皇xc3 9.bxc3 豐c7
Chapter 3.4
Chapter 3.5
Chapter 3.6
Chapter 3.7

Part IV – 40-0 5.Ձd3 d5 6.⊘f3 c5 7.0-0 – The Immediate 7dxc4 8.Ձxc4	5
Chapter 4.1	6
Chapter 4.2	0
Chapter 4.3	2
Chapter 4.4	5
Chapter 4.5	4
Index of Variations40	1
Index of Players40	5
Bibliography41	1

Foreword

My research on the Nimzo-Indian Defence started in the mid-1980s. A few factors contributed to this.

In Yugoslavia at the time, there was a widely studied analytical work by Mikhail Botvinnik, a trilogy of his own games. Nimzo-Indians were regularly featured in these games — like for example in his World Championship matches against Vasily Smyslov, but also in many other games by Botvinnik.

Svetozar Gligoric was a big protagonist of the Nimzo with 4.e3 and an active player at the time, and his games were always closely followed in Yugoslavia.

Sometime in these years I also received a number of books: on the finals of the Soviet Championships of the 1950s (featuring games by Efim Geller, Alexander Kotov et al), David Bronstein's *Zürich 1953*, and Gligoric's game collection *I play against pieces*, with many Nimzo games with the moves 4.e3 and 4.a3 analysed in them.

At that time I was starting to build a 1.d4 repertoire. From a practical point of view, employing the Nimzo-Indian with the white pieces (contrary to the Queen's Indian) made my opening preparation considerably easier since now I did not have to study so many different opening variations, like the Vienna Variation, the Ragozin, the Semi-Tarrasch, etc.

Some of my first successes, like winning the Yugoslav championship in 1988 and the Crown Group in Biel that same year, I can ascribe to a considerable extent to my wins in the 4.e3 Nimzo Indian. Later on, perhaps the most beautiful moment of my career was when I beat Garry Kasparov in Wijk aan Zee 1999 – also with a Nimzo-Indian with 4.e3.

Starting from 1985, I have broadened my knowledge of the 4.e3 lines over the years, and ultimately I played all of them with white. It always seemed to me that for White 4.e3, the Rubinstein Variation, or 4.a3, the Sämisch Variation, were the most logical, the most classical (although in theoretical manuals the 'Classical Variation', also known as the 'Capablanca Variation', is considered to be the one with 4. $\$ c2) ways for White to meet the Nimzo-Indian.

With 4.e3 (the Rubinstein Variation) White plays a natural developing move, ignoring for the time being Black's bishop on b4 and keeping his options open.

With 4.a3 (the Sämisch Variation) White forces Black to execute his 'threat' of taking on c3 and double White's b- and c-pawns. In return for this doubled pawn, White is to build a strong pawn centre. This always seemed more important to me than the 'meaningless' weakness of White's c4 pawn. Of course this preference is purely personal! Rather often, White also starts with 4.e3 and at a later stage, i.e. usually a move or two later, he plays a2-a3, transposing to Sämisch positions.

The original idea of this book was to cover both the 4.e3 and the 4.a3 lines. During the process of analysing and writing, it transpired that I had underestimated the quantity of the material, and that the 4.e3 variation alone was going to considerably exceed the originally earmarked total number of pages! It was therefore decided that the Sämisch Variation will be dealt with in a separate book, which will be published in the beginning of 2013.

White has many different options to fight in the Rubinstein Variation. He can develop his knight to e2 early (with the bishop still on f1), or later (after playing \$\ddleq\$d3), or he can develop the knight to f3 (which is the most popular) – he can play with an isolated pawn, or with connected hanging pawns in the centre, or establish a central pawn symmetry, etc, etc.

Black, on the other hand, can choose between an early bishop fianchetto with 4...b6, a line loved by great players like Smyslov and Fischer, or 4...c5, attacking the white centre right away, or the flexible 4...0-0, which is the most played in recent top tournaments. The choices that are made on both sides are – or should be – motivated by the players' preferences for certain pawn structures that arise further on in the respective lines. Understanding the pawn structures and the strategic rules that are connected with them is more crucial than a pure rehashing of variations here.

A majority of pawn structures in the 4.e3 lines and their related strategic choices I have tried to explain in my book *Winning Chess Middlegames* (New In Chess 2009). I strongly advise the reader to study the strategic ins and outs of the respective pawn structures, and find out where his own preferences lie before taking the final decision as to which line to pick up for his personal opening repertoire.

In this book I have tried (successfully, I hope) to explain the ideas in the Nimzo-Indian with 4.e3 and to give an objective view of the state of affairs in this opening, irrespective of my personal preference for the white side.

I hope that you, reader, will enjoy this book and that you will be able to successfully employ the knowledge you gain from it in your tournament play.

Ivan Sokolov January, 2012

Chapter 1.9

The Original Rubinstein – 4...c5 5. ∅e2



With the early 5. 2e2, White gives pawn d4 as well as the knight on c3 extra protection, and thereby prevents Black from playing the Hübner Variation. The drawback is that the bishop on f1 is temporarily locked in, so often in this line White puts the e2 knight on g3 and modestly develops his bishop to e2.

5...cxd4

• 5...d6 is an inferior move. White has a number of ways to get an advantage after this: 6.a3 White can also obtain an easy, risk-free advantage with \$\ddots e7\$. On e7 the black king will turn out to be unfortunately placed, but it could not stay on d8 forever. 9. 14 b6 10.a3 \(\hat{2}\)a5 11.b4! cxb4 12.axb4 ≜xb4 13. ©cd5+ ©xd5 14. ©xd5+ exd5 15.\(\hat{L}\)xb4+\(\dec{L}\)f6 16.cxd5 with a large advantage for White Smyslov-Stoltz, Bucharest 1953. **6...≜a5** and now:

A) 7.公g3 0-0 8.d5! 置e8 9.皇e2 皇xc3+ 10.bxc3 豐a5 11.皇d2 公bd7 12.0-0公b6 13.e4 exd5



14.cxd5! Very often in such positions it is much better for White to be a pawn down and have the long a1-h8 diagonal open for his d2 bishop, rather than not to sacrifice anything and have the doubled c-pawns. I have explained this phenomenon in my comments on the game Keres-Spassky in my book

Winning Chess Middlegames. 14... ②xe4 15. ②xe4 Exe4 16. ②d3 Eh4 The rook on h4 prevents a direct onslaught on the black king, but this rook now remains out of play. On 16... 三e8? comes 17.c4 豐a6 18. 豐h5 with a winning attack — note that Black's 豐a6 and ②b6 are out of play. 17. 豐e2 17.c4 豐a4 18. 豐xa4 ②xa4 19. 三fe1 ②d7 20. f4 and it would take a long time for the 三h4 to return into play. 17... ②d7 18.c4 豐a4 19.f4 f5 20. 三fe1 and White went on to win in Gligoric-Hort, Moscow 1963;

- B) **7.4b1 0-0 8.b4 2c7 9.g3** is also better for White: **9...cxd4 10.exd4 2bd7 11.2g2 2b6 12.3b3** as in Volkov-Chepukaitis, St Petersburg 1997.
- C) **7.g3** is another idea for White here.
- 5...d5 is the most common alternative to 5...cxd4. It is definitely playable; the resulting positions (in the main line here) are isolated pawn structure-related. White should have a small advantage. 6.a3 (6.cxd5 公xd5 is good for Black) and now:
- A) 6...cxd4 leads to positions with a stable, risk-free advantage for White: 7.axb4 7.exd4 \$\oxedexed{Le}e7 8.c5 0-0 is also possible, though it leads to more complicated play, where White's advantage is far from risk-free and the tables can easily turn. 7...dxc3 8. \overline{\Omega}xc3



- 8...dxc4 Or 8...0-0 9.b5 b6 10.\(\hat{\textit{g}}\)e2 \(\hat{\textit{b}}\)b7 11.\(\hat{\textit{g}}\)f3 \(\hat{\textit{w}}\)c7 12.cxd5 exd5 13.0-0 \(\hat{\textit{d}}\)d8 14.\(\hat{\textit{o}}\)e2 \(\hat{\textit{b}}\)bd7 15.\(\hat{\textit{d}}\)d4 as in Bogoljubow-Matanovic, Belgrade 1952. 9.\(\hat{\textit{w}}\)xd8+ \(\hat{\textit{w}}\)xd8 10.\(\hat{\textit{g}}\)xc4 \(\hat{\textit{c}}\)c6 11.b5 \(\hat{\textit{o}}\)e5 12.\(\hat{\textit{g}}\)e2 White has the bishop pair, more space, pressure along the a-file, and Black still has problems to develop. 12...\(\hat{\textit{w}}\)e7 13.f4 \(\hat{\textit{c}}\)ed7 14.b3 \(\hat{\textit{d}}\)d8 15.\(\hat{\textit{a}}\)a3+ and White had a clear advantage and went on to win in Botvinnik-Smyslov, Moscow 1952;
- B) 6... 2a5 has been tried and it can be played, though it remains passive for Black and this is not to every black player's taste. 7.dxc5 dxc4 8. 4xd8 + 2xd8 8... 2xd8?! is an inferior move after 9. 2d2 e5 10. 2e4 2c6 11. 22g3 and Black's c4 pawn falls. 9. 2d4 2bd7 10. 2xc4 2xc5 11.f3 a6 12.b4 2cd7 13.e4 2e5 14. 2e2 as in Aronian-V. Babula, Germany Bundesliga 2004/05.
- C) 6... 2xc3+ is the most played move here: 7. 2xc3 cxd4 8.exd4



C1) Keeping the central tension with 8...公c6 has proved not to equalize: 9.c5 0-0 The positions after 9...公e4 10.总d3 公xc3 11.bxc3 have also proved to be better for White. 10.总f4 This bishop is heading for d6. 10.总e2 also leads to a white advantage. 10...公e4 11.公xe4 dxe4 12.总d6 译e8

Chapter 4.2

Karpov Variation – 8...cxd4 9.exd4 b6



This is one of the most important theoretical lines of the 4.e3 Nimzo, the more so because exactly the same position can be reached via the Panov Attack in the Caro-Kann: 1.e4 c6 2.d4 d5 3.exd5 cxd5 4.c4 \$\sqrt{2}\$f6 5.\$\sqrt{2}\$c3 e6 6.\$\sqrt{2}\$f3 \$\sqrt{2}\$b4 7.\$\sqrt{2}\$d3 dxc4 8.\$\sqrt{2}\$xc4 0-0 9.0-0. Black has here no less than five theoretically established moves at his disposal and we will analyse all of them. A good general understanding of isolated pawn positions is a must for any player willing to master this line either from the white or the black side. Very often, transformations to pawn structures with hanging pawns in the centre (Black takes ...\$\sqrt{2}\$xc3 and White responds bxc3) or (less frequent in this line) with a central pawn symmetry (Black jumps ...\$\sqrt{2}\$d5, White takes \$\sqrt{2}\$xd5 and Black responds ...exd5) occur in this line. I have analysed this subject extensively in my book *Winning Chess Middlegames*.

9...b6

This is considered to be Black's main move here. 9...a6 has been popular lately, while three other moves are relatively less frequent nowadays:

● The alternative 9... ②c6 mostly transposes to another line after 10.a3, which in my opinion is White's strongest reply. A relatively independent continuation here is 10. ②g5.



Index of Variations

Nimzo-Indian Defence 4.e3 1.d2-d4 ∅g8-f6 2.c2-c4 e7-e6 3.∅b1-c3 Ձf8-b4 4.e2-e3



4...9 c6

I		<u> </u>	¥				Ï
	İ	1	İ		İ	À	±
				İ			
	皇	2	8				
		3		8			
2	8				8	8	8
Ï		Ļ	₩	\$	<u>2</u>	3	Ï
\blacksquare		晃		具	晃	2	볼

5.413			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1	2
5.②e2																													1	2
5. <u>₿</u> d2																													1	6
5. <u></u> \$d3																													1	7
5.a3																													1	9

4...b6



5.f3 - 50-0			 	21
- 5Øc6			 	21
- 5c5			 	22
- 5…②h5			 	23
- 5 <u>⊈</u> a6			 	23
5.皇d3	- 60-0		 	28
	- 6 <u>\$</u> xo	3	 	28
	- 6⊈e4	1	 	29

The Strategic Nimzo-Indian

4...b6 5.5 e2



5c5 6.a3 \(\hat{Q}\)a5 - 7.\(\bar{\pi}\)b136
- 7. <u>\$</u> d2
- 7.g3
5 <u>\$</u> b7
5 De4 - 6.f3
- 6. <u>\$</u> d2
- 6.≝c2 63
5 <u>\$</u> a6 - 6.a3 - 6 <u>\$</u> e7
- 6 <u>@</u> xc3+80
- 6.\(g_3 - 6\(x_c_3 + \dots \)
- 6h5
- 60-0
- 6c5
- 6 G) c6

4...c5



```
      5. ②e2 - 5...cxd4
      103

      - 5...d6
      103

      - 5...d5
      104

      5. 並d3 - 5...0-0 6. ②e2 d5
      114

      - 5...公c6 6. ②e2
      115
```

4...0-0 5.∕∆e2 d5 6.a3



6≜d6 - 7.∕∑g3	 							 		135
- 7.c5 .	 							 		135
6⊈e7 - 7.cxd5	 							 		146
- 7.�f4	 							 		146
- 7.9g3	 							 		154

4...0-0 5.⊈d3 c5

I		ġ	w		I		
1	*		1		1	À	*
				*			
		1					
	皇	2	2				
			Ŷ	8			
2	å				8	8	2
Ï		ģ	₩	\$		5	Ï

6.d5	- 6b5	172
	- 6exd5 7.cxd5 - 7∮xd5	172
	- 7h6	174
	- 6d6	175
6.Øf3	3 - 6b6 7.d5	179
	- 6ᡚc6	179

4...0-0 5.\(\pma\)d3 d5



6.cxd5 exd5 7.ව්e2	92
5.∮e2 dxc4 7.≜xc4 e5	93
6.a3 - 6dxc4	30
- 6\(\hat{\pm}\)xc3 7.bxc3 - 7c62	09
- 7dxc4 2	09

4...0-0 5.âd3 d5 6.2f3 b6



7.a3.																			222
7.0-0																			222
7.cxd	5 6	X	d5	8	.0-	0													226

4...0-0 5.Ձd3 d5 6.⊘f3 c5 7.0-0 ⊘c6 8.a3 Ձa5



9.cxd5																		23	1
9.∕De2																		23	2
9.�a4																		23	2
9.h3																		2.3	3

4.

40-0 5.≜d3 d5 6.∕∆f3 c5 7	7.0-0 ົ∆c6 8.a3
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	10. \$\doldownote{\pmathbb{b}}2 \\ 10. cxd5 exd5 - 11.a4 \\ - 11. \doldownote{\pmathbb{b}}h4 \\ - 11.h3 \\ 10.h3 \\ 10.a4 \\ 10.\doldownote{\pmathbb{c}}2 \\ 10.\doldownote{\pmathbb{c}}2 \\ 255 \\ 10.\doldownote{\pmathbb{c}}2 \\ 255 \\ 7.0-0 \doldownote{\pmathbb{c}}6 \doldownote{\pmathbb{8}}.a3 \doldownote{\pmathbb{c}}xc3 \doldownote{\pmathbb{c}}xc4 \doldownote{\pmathbb{c}}c7
	11. 望b5 a6 270 11. a4 單d8 12. 望a3 273 11. 豐e2 274 11. 望a2 - 11b6 281 - 11ē5 285 11.h3 - 11ē5 293 - 11 這d8 293 - 11 上 直d8 293 - 11 上 直 3 294 11. ②b2 e5 - 12.h3 303 - 12. ②e2 304
40-0 5.Ձd3 d5 6.⊘f3 c5 7	7.0-0 dxc4 8. <u>≙</u> xc4
	8響e7 - 9.響e2 ②c6 10.罩d1 316 - 9.a3 ②a5 317 8②c6 9.a3 - 9cxd4 - 10.axb4 321 - 10.exd4 321 - 9②a5 - 10.②a2 323 - 10.營d3 324 - 10.③d3 325 8cxd4 9.exd4 - 9b6 330 - 9②c6 330 - 9②c6 331 - 9②bd7 331 - 9③xc3 335
	- 9a6

- 9.\dd3 374 - 9.\daggerba b3 385