

Fighting the Nimzo

Semko Semkov

Chess Stars

www.chess-stars.com

Chess Stars Publishing

Fighting the Nimzo

Cover design by Rustam Taichinov

Copyright © 2025 by Semko Semkov

Printed in Bulgaria

ISBN: 978-619-7188-42-4

Contents

Bibliography 4 Introduction 5

Part 1 1.d4 2 f6 2.c4 e6 3. 2 c3 2 b4 4. 2 f3 0-0 9

Chapter 1. 4. 4 f 3 0 - 0 5. 4 g 5

Step by Step 12 Annotated Games 28

Chapter 2. 4. 2 f3 0-0 5. 2 g5 d5

Step by Step 36 Annotated Games 47

Chapter 3. 4...0-0 5.\deltag5 h6

Step by Step 58 Annotated Games 80

Part 2 1.d4 🖾 f6 2.c4 e6 3.🖾 c3 🕸 b4 4.🖾 f3 c5 89

Chapter 4. 4...c5 5.e3 \(\ddot{x}\)c3+

Step by Step 92 Annotated Games 105

Chapter 5. 4...c5 5.e3 d5 6.cxd5 **2**xd5

Step by Step 111 Annotated Games 122

Chapter 6. 4...c5 5.e3 d5 6.cxd5 exd5

Step by Step 126 Annotated Games 134

Part 3 1.d4 \$\alpha\$f6 2.c4 e6 3.\$\alpha\$c3 \$\dots\$b4 4.\$\alpha\$f3 b6 147

Chapter 7. Fianchetto with ... ②e4

Step by Step 149 Annotated Games 164

Chapter 8. Fianchetto with ...c5

Step by Step 173 Annotated Games 182

Chapter 9. Fianchetto with ...d5

Step by Step 188 Annotated Games 197

Part 4 1.d4 🛭 f6 2.c4 e6 3.🖺 c3 🖺 b4 4.🖺 f3 d5 203

Index of Variations 205

Bibliography

Books

Playing the Nimzo-Indian, Renier Castellanos, Quality Chess 2024
The Modern Nimzo-Indian, Igor Lysyj, Chess Stars 2022
Attacking 1...d5 Volume 2, Kiril Georgiev, Chess Stars 2022
The Modern English, Volume 2, Kiril Georgiev and Semko Semkov, Chess Stars 2019

Periodicals

Chess Informant

Internet resources

The Week In Chess (www.theweekinchess.com) Chess Publishing (www.chesspublishing.com)

Every serious 1.d4 player is constantly facing the problem what to do against **1.d4 2f6 2.c4 e6**. The Queens-Indian Defence 3.**2**f3 b6 is solid but toothless nowadays, and 3.g3 c5 4.d5 leads to the Modern Benoni with g3, which is hardly the most challenging approach against it. It suffices to say that Black scores over 50%!

Thus having the Nimzowitsch **3.**包**c3 \$b4** in our repertoire is indispensable if we want to impose complex unbalanced play.

I had been playing the Nimzo all my life, relying on the Rubinstein System 4.e3. My most memorable game in it was against ex-World champion Tal in a closed round robin tournament at a classical control:

Semkov-Tal Albena 1984

1.d4 e6 2.c4 ②f6 3.②c3 **\$**b4 4.e3 0-0 5.②f3 b6 6.豐c2 **\$**b7 7.a3 **\$**xc3+ 8.豐xc3 a5 9.b3 d6 10.**\$**e2 ②bd7 11.0-0 ②e4 12.豐c2 f5 13.**\$**b2 ②df6 14.②d2 豐e8 15.f3 ②g5



16.\d2!

This was the decisive move in our psychological battle. Tal said in the postmortem that he missed it. His idea was to put the queen on g6, followed by ...h7-h5-h4, or other tactical devices like 16.罩ae1 增g6 17.单d3 ②fe4 18.fxe4? fxe4 19.彙e2 ②h3+ 20.彙h1 ②f2+=. My move hinders this set-up since 16...增g6 would be met by 17.d5!. Tal thought a lot and came up with:

16... ♠h5, hoping that I would be scared to call his bluff. However, I calculated a forced way to exchange his second knight and provoked the subsequent sacrifice with 17.f4!. The next moves came quickly as both of us wanted to show confidence:



The bishop's pendulum manoeuvre decides the game. 21...增xe3 22.增c3 trades queens, so Black is unable to keep his knight. In our previous game two years earlier in Sochi I was also winning, but blundered just before the control. This time I did not miss the goal: 21...增xh2 22.≜xh5 增xh5 23.增d3 ≜g2 24.罩f2 增h4 25.增e2 a4 26.句f3 ≜xf3 27.增xf3 axb3 28.卤d2 罩a4 29.罩h1 增f6 30.增c6 罩a5 31.罩fh2 h6 32.增xc7 罩a4 33.罩c1 d5 34.cxd5 exd5 35.罩c6 增f7 36.增xf7+ 1-0

The Rubinstein System had served me well for decades, but gradually Black players have learned to neutralize it with an early ...d5, followed by ...dxc4.

One tough nut to crack proved to be the so-called Karpov Variation: 4.e3 0-0 5.\(\delta\)d3 d5 6.\(\delta\)f3 dxc4 7.\(\delta\)xc4 c5 8.0-0 cxd4 9.exd4 b6 10.\(\delta\)g5 \(\delta\)b7



Black then takes on c3 and develops the queen's knight on d7, achieving an easy game. Even worse, the principal lines have been investigated up to draw endgames, for instance, 11. Ee1 \(\Delta bd7 \)
12. \(\Text{Ec1} \Text{Ec8} \) 13. \(\Text{W} b3 \) \(\text{2} xc3 \)
14. \(\Text{Exc3} h6 \)
15. \(\text{2} h4 \) \(\text{2} d5 \) 16. \(\text{2} xc3 \)
17. \(\Text{W} xc3 \)
18. \(\text{2} xd5 \) \(\Text{Exc3} \)
19. \(\text{2} xc5 \)
22. \(\text{2} xc5 \)
22. \(\text{2} xc5 \)
23. \(\Text{Ec5} \)
24. \(\text{2} e1 \)
26=, Lysyj-Oparin, Sochi 2017.

Another critical move order is 4.e3 0-0 5.\(\hat{2}\)d3 d5 6.\(\hat{2}\)f3 dxc4 7.\(\hat{2}\)xc4 c5 8.a3 \(\hat{2}\)xc3+ 9.bxc3 \(\bar{2}\)c7



Note that in both cases Black refrains from putting the knight on c6. That creates a threat on the \(\dtext{\mathematical}c4\), and all the retreats have some drawbacks.

I did not find a satisfactory solution, but discovered a way to sidestep both problematic positions via a clever move order:

4.包f3!



We call this the Kasparov Variation. In the titanic encounters with Karpov in 1985-1986, the young World champion scored 4 wins and 5 draws without a single loss. Apparently Karpov was so impressed by his opponent's ideas, that he also tried them as White. Ironically, our model to follow in this book is not Kasparov, who treated "his" variation with g3, but none other than Karpov! Way back in 1974 he employed 4. 公f3 against Spassky, and after 4...c5 transposed to the Rubinstein System with 5.e3!?, having dodged the move order with ...d5.

You might ask what is the difference, as Black can go **5...0-0** 6.\(\delta\)d3 d5. Then we opt for the fashionable:

7.cxd5 exd5 8.dxc5!?

We have a clear plan to play against the *isolani* on d5.



Of course Black can push it to d4, but he does not fare well in the arising symmetrical pawn structure due to his lag in development – see Chapter 6.

The most (and only!) principled way to punish our particular path to the Rubinstein System via 4. ②f3 is Fischer's set-up 5... ②xc3+6.bxc3 d6. In my opinion, White obtains a stable advantage with 7. ②d3 ③c6 8.e4 e5 9.h3! h6 10. ②e3 aiming to provoke 10...b6.



Now we close the centre and start strangling the opponent. However, you should know the right manoeuvres and where to place the king.


4...0-0 5.\(\hat{2}\)g5 c5 6.e3!? is another major direction of my re-

search. Here again we can avoid the Karpov Variation, as I show in Chapter 2.

The most popular answer to 4.∅f3 has been **4...b6**, which leads after **5.e3** to 3 very different pawn structures.

Chapter 7 focuses on Dutch setups. I like to attack them with breaks on the queenside, namely c4-c5 or a2-a4-a5:

5...②e4 6.**營**c2 **\$**b7 7.**\$**d3 f5 8.0-0 **\$**xc3 9.bxc3 0-0



10.c5!?.

Next comes **5...c5 6.2d3 2b7 7.0-0 0-0 8.2a4 cxd4 9.exd4!**, where Black commonly builds up a Hedgehog structure.

Finally, 5...0-0 6.\(\dd3\\dd3\\dd5\) 7.0-0 **d5 8.cxd5 exd5 9.\(\dd2\d5!?** ensures White of good prospects of an attack.

Our next moves in the position on the following diagram are f4, d1-f3-h3, planning f4-f5.



The last part of the book should have been devoted to the Ragozin **4.②f3 d5**. My recommendation is **5.②a4+! ②c6 6.e3**.



I believe that White's game here is easier than after 5.cxd5 or 5.\(\preceq\$g5. It is covered in detail in Attacking 1...d5 Volume 2 by Kiril Georgiev, published by Chess Stars in 2022. I do not analyse it in the current book, but included a short update to Georgiev's work.

I hope that after several books on the Nimzo from the Black's perspective, it would be refreshing to offer the reader White's point of view.

Semko Semkov January 2025

Part 1

1.d4 \$\alpha\$f6 2.c4 e6 3.\$\alpha\$c3 \$\dagger b4 4.\$\alpha\$f3 0-0



This flexible move retains all Black's options open. Black might choose later ...d5, having dodged the Ragozin 4...d5 5.\(\mathbb{\text{\mathbb{M}}}\)a4+!, or ...c5 first, or even ...b6. Lysyj recommends it in his repertoire book on the Nimzowitsch. On the other hand, it does not attack immediately the centre. I propose to use the tempo to lead out our bishop, which is commonly caged in on c1 in the Rubinstein System with 4.e3 – a first dividend for us from the move order with 4.\(\alpha\)f3!

5.\(\pm\$g5!?

My main idea is to play later e3, aiming for the following position: 5...c5 6.e3 cxd4 7.exd4 d5 8.\(\mathref{\pm}\)e2! dxc4



9.0-0!. This is our trick to sidestep the Karpov Variation 9.\(\bar{\pma}\)xc4 (where the bishop is on d3) 9...b6 10.0-0 \(\bar{\pma}\)b7, which has been developed incredibly deep. The key move after 9...b6 is 10.\(\Dar{\pma}\)e5! \(\bar{\pma}\)b7 11.\(\bar{\pma}\)f3!



What have we achieved? There is not a single game in my database! And Black has to solve complex problems on his own. This is analysed in Chapter 1, line C1.

My analysis showed that this idea does not work well with ...h6 &h4 included. Then what? In that event we enter the Karpov Variation, but with a huge difference – our bishop is on h4:

5...h6 6.\(\hat{2}\)h4 c5 7.e3 cxd4 8.exd4 d5 9.\(\hat{2}\)e2 dxc4 10.0-0 b6 11.\(\hat{2}\)xc4! \(\hat{2}\)b7 12.\(\begin{array}{c}\)e2



Another typical set-up against the isolated pawn is based on ... \(\Delta \) c6.



Since the queen's knight is not on d7 to defend f6, Black commonly retreats the bishop to e7 to unpin \$\@00e466\$.

Against …∅c6, our favourite set-up is \(\mathbb{E} = 1, \) \(\mathbb{M} \) d3, a3, planning \(\alpha c 4 - a 2 - b 1. \)

See Chapter 1, line C1.

Finally, if Black delays ... \(\) c6, we put our queen on e2 (the d4-pawn is not hanging!) and aim for \(\) e5, possibly \(\) xf7 or d4-d5.

Analysis




17. ∅xf7!±. This sacrifice is a recurring motif when we manage to install a knight on e5.

If Black meets **5.2g5** with **5...d5** 6.e3 c5 7.cxd5 exd5, we take on c5!



8.dxc5! saddles the opponent with an isolated pawn. Then 8...\(\Delta\)bd7 9.\(\mathbb{E}\)c1! \(\Delta\)xc5 is strongly met by 10.\(\mathbb{E}\)d4!. See Chapter 2, line B.

The more cunning move order is 6... ②bd7 before ...c5, waiting for the bishop to go to d3. After 7.cxd5 exd5 8. 2d3 c5 we change plans − 9.0-0 2xc3 10.bxc3 c4 11. 2f5! 2a5 12. 2c2 2e8 13. 2d2



Play has converted to the Westphalia-Manhattan Variation. It was popular some decades ago, but I believe that White has a lasting initiative after 13...g6 14.\(\dot\)xd7! \(\delta\)xd7 15.f3 \(\delta\)b6 16.a4!? or the more straightforward 16.\(\text{Efe1}!\frac{1}{2}\). I offer a detailed analysis of the latter option in **Game 4** Mamedyarov-R.Bagirov, Baku 2005.

Chapter 3 is dedicated to lines where Black rejects our bishop with ...h6. It is not an irrelevant insertion, as it brings about new tactical nuances. Most notably, the fianchetto 5...h6 6.\(\hat{\pma}\)h4 b6 could be challenged with:



7.g4!? (although 7.e3 may be even better, as shown in Chapter 1, line A). White's plan is unambiguous – &xf6, h4, g5 and long castling.

It has been trendy to meet 5...h6 6.\(\frac{1}{2}\)h4 c5 with 7.\(\frac{1}{2}\)c1, so I provide some analysis, but my favourite reaction is 7.e3, accepting an isolated pawn on d4, as seen above.

The best feature of our approach with 4.\(\Delta\)f3 0-0 5.\(\Delta\)g5 is the rich play with many different strategic ideas and sharp tactical skirmishes. It is not easy for learning – only the IQP positions require years of practice, but it is all the more difficult for your opponents to grind all the lines in their home preparation.