Victor Bologan

Bologan's Caro-Kann

A Modern Repertoire for Black

New In Chess 2018

This book is dedicated to the fond memory of Mikhail Podgaets, Honoured Trainer of the USSR, who revealed to me the secrets of the Caro-Kann.

© 2018 New In Chess

Published by New In Chess, Alkmaar, The Netherlands www.newinchess.com

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior written permission from the publisher.

Cover design: Volken Beck Supervision: Peter Boel Proofreading: Sandra Keetman, Frank Erwich Production: Anton Schermer

Have you found any errors in this book? Please send your remarks to editors@newinchess.com. We will collect all relevant corrections on the Errata page of our website www.newinchess.com and implement them in a possible next edition.

ISBN: 978-90-5691-778-4

Contents

Explanation of symbols	9
Foreword	11
Part I – Minor lines	15
Chapter 1 Avoiding theory: 2.必e2 d5 3.e5	16
Chapter 2	
King's Indian Attack: 2.d3 d5 3.⁄2d2	18
Chapter 3 The fashionable 2.d4 d5 3.f3	27
Chapter 4	
Two Knights: 2.公c3 d5 3.公f3 皇g4 4.d4	36
Chapter 5	
Two Knights: 2. 🖄 c3 d5 3. 🆄 f3 🎍 g4 4. 🚊 e2	41
Chapter 6 Two Knights main line: 4.h3 皇xf3 5.響xf3 ④f6	11
Chapter 7	44
Two Knights main line: 4.h3	56
Part II – Steiner System, Panov Attack, Exchange Variation	63
Chapter 8	
د Steiner System 2.c4: White plays 5.Ձb5+	64
Chapter 9	
Steiner System 2.c4: White plays 5.響a4+	77
Chapter 10	
Minor lines with 5. 2c3 2xd5	83
Chapter 11	
5.心c3 心xd5: main line 6.心f3 心c6 7.皇b5 g6	85
Chapter 12 Exchange Variation	00
Chapter 13	00
Panov: minor lines	
Chapter 14	
Panov Four Knights: 6 £g4	110
Chapter 15	
Panov Four Knights: 6 🚊 e6	119

Part III – Classical System: 1.e4 c6 2.d4 d5 3. ගිc3 dxe4 4. ගිxe4 ዿf5125
Chapter 16
Bobby Fischer's 5. 🖄 c5
Chapter 17
Main line: 5.🖄g3 🚊g6 6.🖄1e2133
Chapter 18
Main line: 6. 🗟 c4 and king's knight to f4
Chapter 19
Main line: f2-f4 and ②f3146
Chapter 20
Main line: 6.h4 h6 7.f4148
Chapter 21
Main line: 6.h4 h6, king's knight to f4 151
Chapter 22
Main line: 7.2f3 and 8.2d3
Chapter 23
Main line: 8.h5, 9.\2d3, 11.\2f4 \2a5+ 12.c3159
Chapter 24 Main line: 12. 2d2 2b4
Chapter 25 Main line: 12. 2d2 2b4 – White drives away the bishop
Chapter 26
Main line: 12. 2d2 2b4 – White castles queenside
Chapter 27
Main line: 12. 2d2 \u201ec7 13. \u201ec2
Chapter 28
Main line: 12.ዿd2 ≝c7 13.0-0-0 ⊘gf6 without 14.⊘e4182
Chapter 29
Main line: 12.ዿd2 ≝c7 13.0-0-0 ⊘gf6 14.⊘e4
Chapter 30
Main line: minor alternatives after 11. 🚊 d2
Chapter 31
Main line: 11.皇d2 with 13.②e4195
Chapter 32
Main line: 11. 2d2 with queenside castling and We2199
Chapter 33
Main line: 11.ዿd2 with 13.ዿb1

Part IV – Advance (Closed) Variation: 1.e4 c6 2.d4 d5 3.e5 §f5207
Chapter 34
Sidelines 208
Chapter 35
The rare 4.f4
Chapter 36
The devious 4.②e2
Chapter 37
The weakening 4.c4
Chapter 38
The aggressive 4.g4
Chapter 39
The dangerous 4.h4: minor lines
Chapter 40
The dangerous 4.h4: White plays 5.c4232
Chapter 41
The dangerous 4.h4: White plays 5. 2d3
Chapter 42
The subtle 4. 242
Chapter 43
The positional 4.c3
Chapter 44 The crafty 4.êe3250
Chapter 45
The creative 4.@c3, 5.g4, 6.@ge2
Chapter 46
The creative 4.②c3, 5.g4, 6.②ge2 with 7.h4
Chapter 47
The popular 4.④f3: rare replies to 4e6
Chapter 48
The direct 5.Ձe2 වe7 6.වh4
Chapter 49
6.②bd2: White holds on to c5
Chapter 50
The subtle 6.c3: minor plans after 6أd7
Chapter 51
White combines 6.c3 with capturing on c5

Chapter 52
Main line 6.0-0 c5: rare continuations
Chapter 53
Main line 6.0-0 c5: French-style play with 7.c3
Chapter 54
Main line 6.0-0 c5: the logical 7.dxc5291
Chapter 55
Main line 6.0-0 c5: 7.c4 🖄 bc6 8. 🖄 c3
Chapter 56
Main line 6.0-0 c5: 7.c4 🖄bc6 8.🖄a3 300
Chapter 57
Main line 6.0-0 c5: 7.c4 🖄 bc6 8.dxc5 308
Part V – Positions for solving
-
Chapter 58
Chapter 58 What would you play?

Foreword

In our day, the Caro-Kann Defence has achieved great popularity. Maybe this is because in a complicated positional battle, it is easier to outplay a less experienced opponent. This is probably the reason why this opening has been a trusted weapon for many world champions, also because of its sound positional basis.

In this book, I offer a complete repertoire for Black in reply to 1.e4, explaining both the strategic ideas and the exact move orders. In the main, the book is based on my own games and analyses, including work with Mikhail Podgaets, the trainer of the 12th World Champion, Anatoly Karpov, and also on the practice of leading grandmasters of today. I will show how I play as Black, at the present day (of course, in the future I may choose other lines and try to strengthen Black's play). The possibilities in the Caro-Kann are enormous, Black having a wide choice of possible plans, piece set-ups, move orders, etc.

On the one hand, I show high-level analyses, and on the other, I also try to explain the main ideas in as simple and accessible a way as possible for the average player, so I hope that the book will be of benefit to players of a wide variety of different levels. At the end of each section, there is a brief conclusion, which draws the reader's attention to the key points, which need to be mastered and remembered. I should like to thank, for their assistance with this book, Grandmaster Dmitry Svetushkin, International Master Vladimir Barsky and FIDE Master Mikhail Popov.

The Caro-Kann is a very solid opening. Its basic idea is to strike in the centre with the protected pawn on d5; at the same time, unlike the French Defence, here the light-squared bishop is still able to come out to f5 or g4.



Black obtains a solid pawn structure and it is very rare in the Caro-Kann for him to obtain weak pawns, and he also rarely has bad pieces. The only problem is that he obtains a slightly passive position, mainly because the queen's knight is deprived of the square c6. But Black can improve his position by carrying out the advance ...c6-c5, and thanks to this can even take the initiative.

The honour of having invented this defence belongs to the 19th century Austrian master Markus Kann. His ideas were taken up and developed by the English master Horatio Caro, who in 1886 published the first serious analyses of this new opening. The opening became popular at the start of the 20th century, when it was often used successfully by Aron Nimzowitsch, Savielly Tartakower, and the third World Champion, José Raul Capablanca. A great contribution to the theory of the opening was made by Mikhail Botvinnik, Salo Flohr, Vasily Smyslov, Paul Keres, Tigran Petrosian, Mikhail Tal, David Bronstein, Anatoly Karpov, Isaak Boleslavsky, Alexander Konstantinopolsky, Vasily Panov, Alexey Suetin, Vladimir Makagonov, Yuri Balashov, Yuri Razuvaev, Bent Larsen, Garry Kasparov, Yasser Seirawan, Michael Adams, Evgeny Bareev, Alexey Dreev, Peter Leko, Daniel Fridman, Igor Khenkin, Vladimir Burmakin, Alexander Grischuk, Fabiano Caruana, Shakhriyar Mamedyarov, Alexander Riazantsev and others. From the names of the players who have used the Caro-Kann, one can study the whole history of chess!

This opening is not only very solid and reliable (from the viewpoint of playing for a draw as Black), but also very complicated. It contains many subtleties and positional nuances, thanks to which one can hope to outplay an opponent. The opening has been successfully used over many decades by the 12th World Champion, Anatoly Karpov, including in matches for the World Championship, and the opening has earned an excellent reputation at the highest level. Karpov was helped with this opening by the player and well-known theoretician Mikhail Podgaets (1947-2009).

A representative of the star-studded generation of Odessa players, who emerged into the All-Union arena in the 1960s, Podgaets was five times a world champion as part of the Soviet student team. Playing in Union championships, he was Ukrainian Champion in 1976. In the mid-1980s, he joined Karpov's team and worked with the latter until the end of his life. The 12th World Champion was persuaded to abandon his beloved Spanish, which he had used in his World Championship matches with Kasparov, in favour of the rock-solid Caro-Kann, which Podgaets had played all his life. After achieving an outstanding result at Linares 1994, a delighted Karpov described Podgaets as the best trainer in the world.

I started working with Podgaets in December 2002: we had a training session together, he showed me the Caro-Kann, and I decided to put my faith in this solid opening, which also offers chances to take the initiative. I had first played it as Black in Buenos Aires, two years earlier, beating grandmaster Pablo Ricardi. The tournament was a happy one for me overall, because not only did I share 1st-2nd places with Judit Polgar, but it was also there that I met and became friends with Podgaets!

In 2003, my results jumped sharply. I won the Aeroflot Open in Moscow and then the super-tournament in Dortmund, where I won three games in the Caro-Kann: I beat Peter Leko and Arkady Naiditsch as Black and Vishy Anand as White. Mikhail Podgaets had not only done a great job of sharing his analyses of this opening, but had also infused me with its culture.

In my book, I pay a good deal of attention to correspondence games played via the Internet; these are of the very highest quality, as the players are able to exploit all the resources of modern technology, a combination of human and silicon intellect. Many of the old analyses I had made with Podgaets were tested on powerful computers, in the process of writing this book, and this has improved their quality. But perfection has no limits!

As usual in my books, I have tried to offer the side whose repertoire is being presented (in this case, Black) a choice of alternative plans, so that you can choose which suits your character better, as well as making the opponent's job of preparing for you more difficult.

In the classical main line, as well as the tried and tested plan with ...0-0-0, which nobody has ever managed to refute, in recent months there has been a great surge in popularity of the plan with@e7 and an early ...c6-c5, after which Black does not hurry with kingside castling, so as not to come under a direct attack. This plan is given serious attention in this book.

In the system with 3.e5 we have detailed consideration of some new and some well-forgotten ideas for White, involving seizing space. Although our bishop comes out to f5, White has many resources to fight for an advantage. In reply, I recommend a very resolute system with an early ...c6-c5. I have played this many times with both colours and consider this the strongest continuation. This is also the plan which has generally been preferred by Peter Leko.

In our day, practically all of the world's leading players employ the Caro-Kann regularly, both the younger players and the more experienced ones. It is interesting to see how each player has his own way of handling this deep opening, in which one can develop the pieces in various ways, using different set-ups and plans. Proving an advantage for White has become more and more difficult. I recommend that the reader include the Caro-Kann Defence in his repertoire, and I wish you success!

Victor Bologan, Doha, March 2018

CHAPTER 14

Panov Four Knights: 6... 🚊 g4

1.e4 c6 2.d4 d5 3.exd5 cxd5 4.c4 🖄 f6 5.🖄 c3 🖄 c6 6.🖄 f3



6.⊘**f3** is the so-called Four Knights system. We will examine two plans for Black: in this chapter, the resolute play for a draw with **6... ≜g4**, and in the following Alekhine's move **6... ≜e6**, with the help of which Black tries to engineer a complicated position with play for all three results.

6...**≜g4 7.cxd**5

The Lithuanian master Vladas Mikenas, against Salo Flohr (Folkestone, 1933), tried the gambit continuation **7.皇e2** dxc4 8.d5 and won a nice game after 8...皇xf3 9.皇xf3 ②e5 10.0-0 營d7 11.營e2 ②xf3+ 12.營xf3 0-0-0 13.b3 e6 14.bxc4 exd5 15.皇f4 d4 16.②b5 皇c5 17.簋ab1 營c6 18.營h3+ 營d7?



19.②xa7+!! 黛xa7 20.響a3. Of course, modern-day computers suggest improvements for both sides on practically every move, but that is not the point – a knowledge of chess history is always useful. Modern theory considers that it is best to decline the offered pawn in favour of 7...e6 8.c5 Black is fine after 8.h3 \$\overline{x}f3 9.\$\overline{x}f3 dxc4 10.0-0 If 10.h3 ⁽²⁾/₂xf3 11. ⁽²⁾/₂xf3 b6 Black eliminates the c5-pawn, which cramps his position, and obtains adequate play. 10....🖄 e4 This knight jump is a standard way to respond to the queenside press. **11.Ic1** On 11.₩a4 a possible reply is 11...②xc3 12.bxc3 鬯c7 13.c4 – White must hurry, else Black will break

with ...e6-e5 or ...b7-b6 – 13... \alpha ad8 14. ab1 §f5 15. bd1 dxc4 16. xc4 <u>ۇe</u>4=. 11....ĝf6 12.h3 ĝh5 13.a3 **公xc3 14.罩xc3 a5=** Black has tied the enemy forces to the defence of d4 and prevented the advance b2-b4. In this complicated position, both sides have chances. In reply to **7. g5** it is worth considering the queen raid on the other flank: 7.... @a5!? 8. 2xf6 exf6 9. ge2 9.cxd5 gb4! 10.dxc6? gxc3+ 11.bxc3 \vert xc3+ 12.\vert e2 0-0, and White is defenceless. 9...dxc4 Black wants to complete his development quickly and create pressure against âxc4 12.âxc4 0-0-0 13.0-0 Shimanov, St Louis 2017. White needs to show definite accuracy, so as not to fall into a bad position.

7...∕⊇xd5 8.ৠb3

The attempt to play for the pin on the 🖄 c6, exploiting the absence of Black's 鬯黛, gives nothing: **8.黛b5** (as Nimzowitsch played against **²h5 10.0-0** In reply to the direct storm 10.g4 皇g6 11.④e5 e6 12.灃a4 Black simply sacrifices a pawn: 12...흹e7 13.④xc6 bxc6 14.흹xc6+ 當f8 15. 巢xd5 (White practically has to exchange his bishop for this actively-placed knight) 15... exd5 16.皇e3 h5!, and Black goes over to a counterattack, exploiting the weakened position of the enemy king, Lauer-Wajnberg, LSS email 2013. 10...e6 11.g4 In this 'moderate' version, White's

plan looks completely harmless. 11....皇g6 12.②e5 After 12.營a4 皇d6 13.②xd5 Black can permit himself a combination:



13... ≜e4!? 14. ≜g5 f6 15. ⊡f4 ≜xf4 16. ≜xf4 ≜xf3 17. ₩b3 ≜d5∓ Jabot-Sorcinelli, FICGS 2012.

12.... 皇d6 13. 公xd5 exd5 14. 罩e1 0-0 15. §f4 §e4!, and Black's chances are superior, because the white king is weakened, Del Rio Angelis-Gomez Esteban, Spain 1997. The outwardly aggressive 8.皇c4 turns out to be a blow in the air: 8... 10.②xd5 exd5 11. 溴e2=) 10... 巢xf3 11.豐xf3 公xd4 12.豐e4 公b6 13. 息d3 ②c6 14.皇e3 ②d5 15.②xd5 exd5 16.響g4 0-0 17.罩ad1 皇f6 Pavlicek-Albesa, LSS email 2013. Here White can immediately make a draw by 18. ፪e4 ②e7 19. ፪c5=, whilst if he persists in trying to work up some initiative, then he can find himself a pawn down with no compensation.

8... 🚊 xf3 9.gxf3 e6

This move leads directly to a slightly better endgame for White. From time to time, even at GM level, one sees the move 9...公b6,

which leads to a more tense position. However, as already mentioned earlier, if Black wants to play for a win, then I suggest the move 6... 皇e6, whilst in this chapter, devoted to 6... 皇g4, I will show a precise path to the draw. **10.** 劉xb7 公xd4 **11.** 皇b5+ 公xb5



12.**鬯c6**+

An important intermediate check. White forces the enemy king to e7, where it interferes with the normal development of the pieces. No advantage comes from the direct 12.豐xb5+ 豐d7 13.豐xd7+ 含xd7 14.公xd5 exd5 15.皇e3 (15.0-0 皇c5 16.皇e3 含d6 17.罩fd1 罩hd8 18.a3 皇b6 19.罩d3 罩ac8 20.罩ad1 含e6 21.b4 罩c4, and Black is, at a minimum, not worse, Paolillo-Migliorini, FICGS 2008) 15....皇b4+ 16.含e2 罩hc8.



Many years ago, I equalised without any trouble as Black in this position. Games played in recent years (including correspondence games) have confirmed that Black does not experience any particular problems here: **17. Iac1** 17. Ihd1 🕸 e6 18.a3 🚊 d6 19.冨d2 奠c5 20.冨g1 g6 21.b4 奠xe3 22.fxe3 Ic3 23.Igd1 Ixa3 24.Ixd5 äa2+ 25.ä1d2 äxd2+ 26.äxd2 äb8 27.邕d4 邕b5 28.邕e4+ 鸢d6 29.邕d4+ Id5 30.If4, draw, Riha-Hrubaru, FICGS 2007. 17...a6 He can also play more bravely with 17...a5, which has been tested in correspondence chess. For example, 18.當d3 黛d6 19.h3 a4 20.\argin{a}xc8 \argin{a}xc8 21.\argic1 \argin{a}xc1.\argin{a} draw. Woodhouse-Salzmann. ICCF 2013. 18. 當d3 g6 19. 息d4 當e6 20.h3 🕸f5= Rozentalis-Bologan, Philadelphia 1994. 12....ģe7



13.₩xb5

In reply to 13.公xb5 Black has the effective reply 13...豐c8! 14.公xa7 (he cannot keep the queens on the board: 14.豐d6+ 當e8 15.豐e5 皇b4+, and Black goes over to the attack) 14...豐xc6 15.公xc6+ 當d6 16.公d4 皇e7 17.0-0 皇f6 18.罝d1 罝hb8 19.a3 罝a4 20.公e2 當c6∓ Evsin-Carvalho Guimaraes, LSS email 2014. It is

difficult for White to complete the development of his queenside, and the extra pawn is no real benefit. It is worth considering one more intermediate check, 13. Wc5+, after which the best reply is **13**…**公d6**. Less accurate is 13... \$ e8, although this move led White to a tragicomic end in a recent game at a stage of the FIDE Grand Prix: 14. 響xb5+ 響d7 15. ②xd5 exd5 16.響b3 (more accurate was 16.響d3 **≜a5 20. ≣hd1 ≣ad8 21. ≣ac1**± Grischuk-Vidit, Doha 2016) 16... 遑d6 17.0-0 罩b8 18.響e3+ 含f8 19.罩d1?? (19.營g5〒) 19...營h3, and in the game Riazantsev-Jakovenko, Sharjah 2017, White was forced to stop the clocks, because after 20.f4 ₩g4+ he is a rook down. 14. 2xd5+ exd5 15.0-0 **營c8** 16. **營xd5 營b7**, and White's compensation for the piece is sufficient only to hold the balance, e.g. 17.\estime e5+ &d7 18.\estimated1 □[] e8 19.豐f5+ □[] e6 20.豐xf7+ 溴e7 21.ዿg5 Ie8 (Plat-Kjartansson, Schwäbisch Gmünd 2018) 22. 罩xd6+ äxd6 23.äe1 ≝c6 24.äxe7+ äxe7 25.豐xe7+ 當c8 26.豐f8+ 當b7 27.營xg7+ 含a6 28.營e7=.

13...響d7



14. 🖄 xd5+

14. 黛g5+ f6 15. ②xd5+ 鬯xd5 transposes into a position examined below. It does not pay White to avoid the queen exchange by putting his queen on the edge of the board. It has been known for a long time that, in this case, Black can quickly untangle his kingside pieces and go over to a counterattack: 14. 響a5 必xc3 This move was played by a young Garry Kasparov 40 years ago. Also good is 14...f6 15.0-0 公xc3 16.bxc3 會f7 advantage to Black, A.Onischuk-Dreev. Yalta 1995. 15. Wxc3 15.bxc3 f6 16.罩b1 當f7 17.響a6 (Gaprindashvili-Chiburdanidze, Pitsunda 1978), and here after 17...罩c8 18.罩b7 罩c7 Black can count on an advantage. 15...f6 16.ĝe3 谢 f7 17.0-0 ĝe7∓ Ma. Tseitlin-Kasparov, Daugavpils 1978. 14... **燮xd5** 15. 燮xd5 More common is the intermediate move 15. g5+ with the idea of luring the black pawn to f6. From a formal viewpoint, of course, it is unfavourable for Black to have to put a pawn on a dark square of the same colour as his bishop, but in this position, Black has a very large reserve of solidity and this small detail does not influence the assessment of the position: 15...f6 16.響xd5 exd5 17. 皇e3 當e6 18.0-0-0 **gb**4



19.a3 19.罩d3 罩hd8 20.营b1!? 罩d7 21.罩c1 a5 22.罩c6+ 혘f5 23.罩b6 يُe7 24.≌b5 ģe6 25.a4 يُb4= Bologan-Velicka, Bern 1999; Black has no problems after 19. 2 hg1 g5 20.a3 皇d6 21.罩ge1 皇e5 with equality, Gavrikov-Dreev, Biel 1995. bishop is very well placed on e3, from where it exerts pressure against the queenside, so it makes sense to exchange it off. **21. Ihel** 21. Id3 🖄 xe3 22. fxe3 Iab8 23.\Zhd1 \Zb5 draw. Mukhutdinov-Khenkin, Moscow 1990, 21... & xe3 22. \vec{marks} xe3+ \vec{marks} d6 Black still needs to show a certain amount of accuracy, because he is behind in development and has to bring his king into the battle, but his rooks come to his aid in time. 23. add Harmless is 23. Zed3 Zc5 24.b4. draw, Howell-Wells, Budapest in advance from frontal checks. In addition, from this square, the rook can swing over to f4 or h4, to counterattack against the opponent's weak pawns. 24. 2e6+ ģrc5





The critical position of the variation. White has tried various ways to exploit the weakness of the d5-pawn and his small advantage in development, but Black has demonstrated that he has fully adequate defensive resources. A) 16. ĝe3

- B) 16.≗f4
- C) 16.0-0

In reply to 16.堂d2 Black fianchettoes his bishop and fastens onto the b2-pawn: 16...g6! 17.햘d3 皇g7 18.프b1 프hc8=

A) 16. 单 e3

As we have already pointed out, e3 is a good square for the bishop. On the other hand, the black king will now feel comfortable on e6, because there is no check from e1: **16...\$e6 17.0-0-0** 17.Ic1 **2**b4+ 18.\$e2 Ihc8 19.\$d3 a5 20.Ixc8 Ixc8 White cannot win the bishop ending, as he himself has many pawn weaknesses, and so the chances are equal,

Pigusov-Dreev, Tallinn 1986. **17.¤g1 &d6 18.¤xg7 &e5 19.¤g4 &xb2**=, Rogers-Adams, London 1988. **17...&b4**



18.\$b1

White is promised little by 18. 皇d4 f6 19. Ihg1 \$f7 20. e3 Ihd8 21. Ig4 a5 22.Id3 Id7= G.Garcia-Becerra Rivero. Matanzas 1995. 18.a3 Ihc8+ 19.當b1 息c5 20.Ihe1 **≜**xe3 21.fxe3 (the assessment of the position is not changed by 21. Ixe3+ 'ġd6 22.b3 (22.f4 ⊑ab8 23.⊑d4= Vaganian-Dreev, Odessa 1989) 22... Ie8 23. Ic2 Ie6 24. Id4 Ig6 25.Ied3 Ig2 26.Ixd5+ 🕸e6 27.Id6+ 當f5 28.h4 邕xf2+ 29.當c3 h5 30.b4 罩c8+ 31.當b3 當f4. and Black has sufficient counterplay for a draw, Sanikidze-Guidarelli, Cappelle-la-Grande 2011) 21...\"\[]c4 22.\[]d2 \[]b8 23. Ied1 Ib5 with an equal position, Kalinitschew-Komarov, Novosibirsk 1989.



18...^{_}hc8!?

I recommend to the reader in all positions of a similar type as this, distinguished only by small details (especially whether the f-pawn is on f7 or f6), the same basic plan of counterplay. This is: the bishop goes to b4, the king's rook occupies the c-file, and then, according to the situation, either a bishop exchange on c5 or the transfer of the rook via c4 to the kingside. For completeness, I will mention that Black does have another plan of defence, one which is less active but more solid, namely: 18...罩hd8 19.罩hg1 g6 20.罩d3 耸a5 21.耸d4 當f5 22.罩b3 罩d7 23.罩d1 h5 24.ᅌae3 罩ad8 25.h3 當e6= Likhachev-Schwarz, ICCF email 2014.

19.ጃd3 **≜c**5

Also possible is 19... 罩c7 20.a3 皇c5 21. 罩g1 g6 22. 罩gd1 罩d8 23.b4 皇b6 24. 塗b2 罩c4 25. 塗b3 罩d7 26. 罩e1 塗f6 27. 罩ed1, draw, Vieito Soria-Monreal Godina, ICCF 2014.

20.**≝c**1

20...\$xe3 21.fxe3 g5 22.¤a3 ¤xc1+ 23.\$xc1 a5 24.\$d1 ¤b8 25.b3 ¤b5 26.¤a4 h5 27.\$e1 f5 28.h3 \$d6 29.\$e2 ¤c5

The threat of penetrating on c2 forces the white king to cut short its journey to the kingside.

30.ģd2 Ib5 31.ģe2 Ic5 32.ģd2 Ib5 ½-½

Ivanchuk-Najer, Turkey tt 2017.

B) 16.≗f4



An aggressive move: White shows that he is not interested in the a7-pawn and the queenside, and intends instead to organise an attack on the king, using the open central files. But even so, few pieces remain on the board and so Black, by exercising the necessary care, can beat off the attack.

16...\$d7!?

From this square, the king controls the penetration squares on both open files and can always come to the aid of the d5-pawn. Less accurate is 16.... \$ f6, although in this case too, the position does not go beyond the bounds of equality. For example: 17.0-0-0 違c5! (worse is 17...罩d8?! 18.罩hg1 Idf 19. ④e3 Ig8 20. Ig4 with advantage to White, Kindermann-Lobron, Bern 1990) 18. 🖾 xd5 🚊 xf2 19.當b1 (19.遑e5+ 當g6 20.遑d4 **흹xd4 21.**罩xd4 罩ac8+ 22.掌b1 罩hd8 23.罩g1+ 當f6 24.罩f4+ 當e7 25.b3 g6 26.簋e1+ �\$f8 27.簋c1 簋xc1+, draw, Potkin-Sjugirov, Rogaska Slatina 2011) 19...Ime8 20.Ime8 20.Ime 當f5 22. 遑g3 f6 23. 罩d7 遑xg3 24.hxg3 'ģg6= Jensen-Gatterer, ICCF 2011.

17.0-0-0 ģc6 18.≝d3

White gets nothing after 18.\$b1 \$\overline{d}6\$ 19.\$\overline{d}4\$ \$\overline{d}xf4\$ 20.\$\overline{d}xf4\$ f6 with an equal position, Rechlis-Huzman, Tel Aviv 1996.

18...皇d6 19.皇e3 皇e5 20.营b1 罩hd8 21.罩c1+ 营d6

The chances of the two sides are equal, A.Onischuk-Khenkin, Polanica Zdroj 1999. C) 16.0-0 🔄 66

After kingside castling, the black king has no need to fear a rook incursion on the g-file, so he can calmly head for f5. **17. Fe1+** \$\$5



18.**¤d1**

18. 皇e3 皇e7 19. 革ad1 革hd8 20. 革d4 h5 21. 革ed1 當e6 22. 革e1 當f5, draw, Jacewicz-Proskuryakov, ICCF 2011.

18...Äd8 19. ĝe3 Äd7

Black has managed to bring the rook from a8 to d7 and covered all his weaknesses. All that remains is to develop the kingside.

20.¤ac1

20. Ξ d4 &c5 21. Ξ f4+ (21. Ξ g4 &e7 22. Ξ xg7, and in the game Rook-Gburek, ICCF 2012, the players agreed a draw in view of 22...&f6 and 23...&xb2) 21...&e5 22. Ξ c1 (in reply to 22.&d2!? Black holds the balance with 22...f5! 23. Ξ e1+ &f6 24. Ξ c1 Ξ c8 25. Ξ a4 Ξ dc7=) 22...&xe3 (also possible is 22...&b6 23. Ξ h4 d4 24. Ξ e4+ &f5= P.H.Nielsen-Filippov, Gistrup 1996) 23.fxe3 Ξ b8 24.b3 Ξ bb7= Adams-Morovic Fernandez, Leon 1995. **20...\&e7**



21.≝d4

In reply to White's attempts to exploit the tactics, Black can himself defend tactically: 21.罩c4 皇f6 22.罩c5 罩hd8 23.b3 皇e5 24.罩a5 皇c3!, after which taking the pawn is risky: 25.罩xa7?! (25.罩c5 皇e5=) 25...d4 26.罩xd7 罩xd7 27.皇c1 罩a7, and it is already White who should show some accuracy.

21...g5 22.單d3 單hd8 23.皇d4 h5 24.堂g2 皇d6 25.h4



25...f6 26.hxg5 fxg5 27.罩e1 罩b7 28.a3 夏f4=

(Chodorowski-Vasile, ICCF 2011) Here Black has improved his position to the maximum on the kingside, which in turn compensates fully for the weakness of the d5-pawn.

Conclusion

I have played this endgame with both colours and on the basis of my own experience I can say confidently that White's attempts to squeeze some advantage out of the position have all been exhausted. Black has a very clear and simple set-up, and it is not clear what White can count on, other than a draw. But White can certainly achieve a draw without any problems, if that is all he wants.

CHAPTER 15

Panov Four Knights: 6... 🚊 e6



This ugly-looking but rather poisonous move was first played by Alekhine, against Dake at Pasadena 1932. The World Champion made a serious mistake in the opening and lost, after which the line disappeared from practice for a long time. It experienced a new life in the 1980s.

- A) 7.c5
- B) 7.ழ̂g5
- C) 7.₩b3

We will take a brief look at 7.cxd5



7... ^Qxd5. One of the main ideas of the system: Black is ready to cede the two bishops, but in return he wants to obtain a strong blockading knight on d5. 8. ^Qe2 e6 9.0-0 ^Qe7 10.^Qe5 ^Wb6 11. ^Qb5 a6 12.^Qxd5 ②xd5 13.এxc6+ bxc6 14.響f3 息f6= Degraeve-Gharamian, St Quentin 2015.

A) 7.c5

This move is met most often in practice. Black's reply is understandable: he has to complete the development of his kingside. **7...g6**





Let us analyse the alternatives briefly:

8. d3 gg7 9.0-0 0-0 10.a3 gg411. ge2 de4 12. ge3 b6 13.cxb6 dxc3 14.bxc3 axb6 with a pleasant position for Black, Parizek-Michalik, Prague 2017. After the pressure has been removed from d5, the follow-up **8. b3** is not so dangerous for Black. But the position remains quite sharp and he needs to be careful: **8... c3** The following game shows the dangers facing Black if he is careless: 8... a5 9. b5+ d710. a4 c6 11.0-0 a6



12.罩e1! axb5 13.公xb5 皇g7 14.豐xa8, and White won in Tsavdaris-Caburro, cr 2006.

9.怠f4 息g7 10.h3 0-0 11.罩d1 公e4 A typical manoeuvre in positions with the white pawn on c5, and at the same time setting a small trap. 12. 息b5? 公xc5! 13.dxc5 d4 14.公d5 營d8 15. 息c4 (Starostits-Kryakvin, Berlin 2017), and here the most precise is 15... 息xd5 16. 息xd5 公a5 17. ೩xf7+ 罩xf7 18. 營e6 營c8∓.

8.h3 is a slow move. Black quietly completes his development, trades off the cramping pawn on c5 and begins to fight for the initiative:



8...ዿ̀g7 9.ዿ̀e2 0-0 10.0-0 ⊘̀e4 11.ዿ̀b5 ∰c7 12.ዿ̀e3 ⊘̀xc3 13.bxc3 b6 14.cxb6 axb6 15.a4 ⊘̀a5 16.⊑c1 ⊘̀c4∓ Stisis-Navara, Tallinn 2016.

8...**≜g7 9**.⊘e5

Harmless is 9.0-0 0-0 – now White must either allow the knight into c4 or the bishop to g4: 10.h3 20e4! 11. 20e4! 20e4 13. 2f4 20a5 14.a4 b6 15. 20e5 20e8= Kislinsky-Turov, Groningen 2017. 9... 2d7

The knight can also be defended by 9...@c8 10.@a4 @d7 11.0-0 a6 12.@xc6 (worse is 12.@xd7 @xd713.@e2 0-0 14. $\blacksquared1$ $\blacksquaread8$ 15.@e3 (in reply to 15.h3 Black transfers the knight via e8 to c7 and prepares the march of the f7-pawn) 15... $@g4\mp$ Potkin-Riazantsev, Irkutsk 2010) 12...@xc6 13.@xc6 bxc6 14. $\blacksquaree1$ @b7=.





After **10.0-0** Black can already start fighting for an advantage: **10...公xe5** A quieter continuation is also possible: 10...0-0 11.邕e1 a6 12.皇xc6 皇xc6 13.公a4 皇xa4 14.豐xa4 邕c8 15.f3 (not a nice-looking move, but White obviously did not wish to allow the enemy knight into e4)



15... 罩c7! Black prepares a different route for the knight. Admittedly, here he needs to calculate all the variations accurately. 16. 2d2 add 17. এa5 公xe5 18.dxe5 (after 18. 皇xc7 Wxc7 19.dxe5 ≜xe5∓ Black will get two pawns for the exchange and a powerful phalanx in the centre) 18... 響d7 (Black's entire game hangs) on this tactical nuance) 19.鬯xd7 äxd7 20.äac1 d4= Khenkin-Van den Doel, Bad Homburg 2016. 11.dxe5 ②e4 12. 黛xd7+ 鬯xd7 **13.** ② **xe4 dxe4 14. ₩xd7**+ Equality is maintained after 14. 黛f4 響f5 15.豐a4+ 曾f8 16. 皇e3 皇xe5 17.罩ad1 **營e6=. 14... ��xd7 15. ��f4 ��e6**∓ Negi-I.Popov, New Delhi 2015. 10...**≜xc**6 Too passive is 10...bxc6 11.0-0 0-0

12.罩e1 響c7 13.h3 響b7 14.b3 愈f5 15.愈f4 心e4 16.心xe4 愈xe4 17.f3 愈f5 18.g4 愈e6 19.響d2±.

11.0-0 ∅d7

The knight must be removed from e5.

12.②xc6 bxc6 13.일g5 0-0 14.d2 f6 15.일e3 a5∓

B) 7. 🚊 g5



Here Black immediately has two good replies:

B1) 7....2e4

This leads to sharper forcing play. 8.②xe4

8.cxd5 皇xd5 9.公xd5 豐xd5 10.皇e3 e6 11.a3 罩d8 12.皇e2 皇e7 13.0-0 皇f6 with good counterplay for Black, Makovskis-Fridman, Liepaja 2014. 8.c5 皇g4 9.皇e3 皇xf3 10.gxf3



10...②**xc5!?** It is hard to refrain from such a nice move, but probably

the simpler 10...公f6 11. 总b5 g6 is even stronger. **11.dxc5 d4 12. 變b3 dxe3 13.fxe3 變c8 14. 區d1 e6** with mutual chances, Gahan-Kryakvin, Liffre 2017.

8...dxe4 9.d5

This sharp position 'requires further analysis', as people usually say in such cases.

This is the variation which the computer considers as the main line:

9...exf3 10.dxe6 營a5+ 11.營d2 營e5+ 12.營e3 fxe6 13.0-0-0 g6 14.gxf3 皇g7

Each side has its trumps.

B2) 7...g6 8. ge2



10.**¤c1**

10.틸e1 dxc4 11.틸c1 틸c8 12.d5 ②xd5 13.흹xc4 ②xc3 14.罾xd8 ②xd8 15.흹xe6 ③xe6 16.bxc3 ②xg5 17.②xg5 흹xc3, and Black realised his material advantage in Mecit-Lupulescu, Turkey tt 2017. 10...罩c8 11.b3 h6 12.皇e3 營d6 13.營d2 公e4 14.公xe4 dxe4 15.d5 exf3 16.皇xf3 公e5 17.dxe6 公xf3+ 18.gxf3 營xe6 With a roughly equal game, Kiuttu-Külaots, Oulu 2013.

C) 7.響b3

At the present time, this is the most unpleasant reply for Black to face. White maintains the tension in the centre and at the same time attacks the queenside, weakened by the exit of the bishop from c8.

7...dxc4

Black should take up the challenge, after which we reach a very complicated position, which is interesting to study. Despite the fact that I have not managed to find precise equality, the position is certainly fully playable. After the tempting 7... (2) a5 White had prepared a temporary queen sacrifice: 8. (2) b5+ 2d7



Sitges 2015) 13. 2d3 IC8 14.0-0 2b4 15. 2e4± Of course, Black has definite compensation for the sacrificed pawn, but he still faces a long struggle for equality.



8.**鬯xb**7

Little is promised by 8. &xc4 &xc49. ilde xc4 e6 10.0-0 &e7 11. ilde d1 0-0 12. d5 exd5 13. @xd5 @xd5 14. ilde xd5@b6 (Berke-Goryachkina, Belgrade 2013), and Black completely equalises.

8...**≝c**8

White is better after 8...鬯c8 9.鬯b5. On 8...公a5 White has prepared a strong idea: 9.鬯b5+ 皇d7 10.鬯e5 皇c6 (on 10...e6 there is the unpleasant 11.鬯g3 g6 12.皇e2 皇c6 13.公e5 皇g7 14.h4 公e4 15.公xe4 皇xe4 16.皇d2 公c6 17.皇c3圭)



11.b4! &xf3 (it turns out that taking the pawn is very dangerous: 11... cxb3 12.axb3 $\textcircledarrow xb3$ 13.&b5! &d714. $\Xia6$ $\Xic8$ (better is 14...e6!?, but here too, after for example 15.0-0 &e7 16.&g5 0-0 17.&d3 Black's position looks dangerous) 15.&b2 e6 16.0-0 &b4 17. $\textcircledarrow e4\pm$) 12.bxa5 &c613.&xc4 e6 14.d5 &d6 15. $\textcircledarrow e3$ &d716.dxe6 &xe6 17.&xe6 0-0 18.0-0 \pm – White has an extra pawn. **9.\textcircledarrow b5 a6!? 10.\textcircledarrow a4** Black's task is easier after 10. $\textcircledarrow xa6$

△xd4 11.公xd4 響xd4 12.皇e2 g6±.
10...公d5 11.公e5 響a5 12.響xa5
公xa5 13.皇d2



Thanks to his advantage in development, White retains the initiative in the ending.

13...⊘b4 14.≝c1 f6

Of course, the knight must be expelled from the commanding heights.

15. විf3 විb7



20.ఌe1 ఌd6 21.ఌxd3 奧c4 22.ఌb4 g5 23.ఌb6 Ձb5 24.ॾc7±

Thanks to the two bishops and the weakness of the d4-pawn, Black can perfectly well count on a draw, even if he loses the a6-pawn.

Conclusion

If Black does not want to make a draw in the Four Knights line (after 6... \$\\$g4), then he can try the less common 6... \$\\$e6. Admittedly, he must be prepared for the fact that after 7. \$\\$b3 White has an unpleasant initiative, and if the opponent is well-prepared, then, after a series of accurate moves, he will have to defend a somewhat inferior endgame.